EnviroFor Reporter



Toll Free: 1-877-727-6978 Phone: 1-250-727-6978 Fax: 1-250-727-6699

> Email: info@quickscribe.bc.ca

Website: www.quickscribe.bc.ca

Vol: X – Issue: X – October 2017

ENVIROFOR NEWS:

New Bills Introduced

The government bills tabled in the month of October include:

- Bill 5, Constitution Amendment Act, 2017
- Bill 6, Electoral Reform Referendum 2018 Act
- Bill 8, Lobbyists Registration Amendment Act, 2017
- Bill 9, Miscellaneous Statutes (Minor Corrections) Amendment Act, 2017
- Bill 10, Health Professions Amendment Act, 2017
- Bill 11, Provincial Court Amendment Act, 2017
- Bill 12, Public Safety Statutes Amendment Act, 2017
- Bill 13, Pooled Registered Pension Plans Amendment Act, 2017
- Bill 14, Sheriff Amendment Act, 2017
- Bill 15, Local Elections Campaign Financing Amendment Act, 2017
- Bill 16, Tenancy Statutes Amendment Act, 2017

A number of non-government Bills were introduced in October:

- M202, Property Law and Land Title Amendment Act, 2017
- M203, Rideshare Enabling and Increased Taxi Occupancy Act, 2017
- M204, University Amendment Act, 2017
- M205, Heritage Conservation (First Nations Protection) Amendment Act, 2017

A reminder that if you would like to track the progress of these bills, or to track changes to any laws that bills amend, please feel free to make use of our <u>BC Legislative Digest</u> tracking tool, and have us monitor and alert you to changes for laws of your choosing.

Latest Annotations

New annotations have recently been added to the Quickscribe site. These annotations include contributions from:

 <u>Robin Longe</u>, Norton Rose Fulbright LLP – <u>Mines Act</u>, <u>Mineral Tenure Act</u>, <u>Reviewable Projects Regulation</u>, 370/2002

Watch this 20-minute <u>YouTube video</u> to learn more about annotations and how to receive alerts when new annotations are published to the laws that matter most to you.

New "Follow All Colleagues" Feature

For some time now, users have had the opportunity to publish Organizational Annotations (OA) throughout the Quickscribe legislation database. These OA (notes) are only visible to those within your account and serve to facilitate discussion on relevant legislation, cases and policies. OA can be shared and responded to by colleagues. Until now, the only option to receive notice whenever a colleague posts new OA was to either select the "Follow Annotations to This Law" feature (top tool bar for each law) or via the "Follow User" link, adjacent to the names of those colleagues who have already published at least one Organizational Annotation. The new "Follow All Colleagues" feature now allows you to receive an email notification when anyone in your organization publishes Organizational Annotations – even if they have not yet published any OA to date. This new feature will help you to stay informed and will further facilitate collaboration and communication with your colleagues. To access this and other annotation alert features, go to the My Alerts tab, (top menu bar), then select far right "Follow Users" icon/tab.

EnviroFor Reporter

Tip: Log in to EnviroFor Online prior to clicking Reporter links.

View **PDF** of this Reporter.

FEDERAL LEGISLATION — For notification of federal amendments, we recommend you use our <u>Section</u> Tracking ***** tool.

[Previous Reporters]

ENERGY AND MINES NEWS

Contaminated Sites Regulation Changes

Published on Quickscribe

The consolidation of the "Stage 10 Omnibus Amendments" made by B.C. Regs. <u>253/2016</u> and <u>196/2017</u> to the <u>Contaminated Sites Regulation</u> is now available on Quickscribe. Effective November 1, 2017, these changes represent the most significant update to the regulation since its enactment in April 1997. Using contemporary science on chemical toxicity, transport and land use, over 8,500 environmental quality standards are updated and many new ones added for emerging contaminants that were not regulated in the past. Also added are new standards addressing wildlands and high density land uses. To ensure regular assessment and updating, a mandatory provision was included to establish an ongoing five-year fixed cycle of review of the standards. Consequential amendments were also made to the <u>Hazardous Waste Regulation</u> and the <u>Organic Matter Recycling Regulation</u> to maintain consistency with the new standards.

BC Court of Appeal Rules that Contaminated Property Must Be Assessed Using Highest and Best Use

In a highly anticipated decision for the valuation of contaminated property in British Columbia, the BC Court of Appeal overturned a decision of the BC Supreme Court and set out how contaminated property should be assessed for tax purposes.

The case involved a Brownfield – a contaminated commercial property with potential for economic redevelopment. The property in question had been operated as a retail gas station, automobile dealership, and repair shop. The soil on the property was contaminated, and the contamination had spread to neighbouring properties. The owner of the property was in considerable financial distress. In addition to tax arrears, legal bills, and accounting bills, she was defending a claim from the owner of a neighbouring property. She therefore arranged to sell the property to this owner through a share purchase agreement for \$42,363.24, which was sufficient to cover her debts. She also obtained a full indemnity from any legal liabilities she might have in the future regarding the contamination. The existing structure on the property was renovated and converted into income-producing multi-tenant commercial retail units.

In 2013, the property was assessed for taxation purposes. The assessor had valued the land and improvements at \$975,000. The property owner, Victory Motors (Abbotsford) Ltd. ("Victory Motors"), appealed, and the Property Assessment Review Panel reduced that assessment to \$500,000. Victory Motors appealed to the Property Assessment Appeal Board ("Board"), claiming the property had no value. The Board reinstated the original assessment. The owner appealed again, to the Supreme Court of British Columbia. That court found that the Board had erred in law, and remitted the matter to the Board for reconsideration. The Assessor appealed that decision. Read the <u>full article</u> by Luke Dineley of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP.

Alternative Energy Sources as Good or Better than Site C Dam, Report Finds

Alternative energy sources such as wind and geothermal could be as good or better for BC ratepayers than the Site C project, according to a report prepared by the independent BC Utilities Commission (BCUC).

The independent body, which is responsible for making sure British Columbians pay fair rates for energy and ICBC costs, also found BC Hydro's projected energy needs are "excessively optimistic" and construction of the dam is likely behind schedule and over budget. Other key findings include:

- Cancelling the project would cost \$1.8 billion.
- Suspending the project and restarting it at a later date would cost around \$3.6 billion on top of existing construction costs.

• Completing the project could cost over \$10 billion.

The BCUC also notes that both completing and continuing the project come with their own unique risks, and ultimately did not take a position on whether termination or completion of the project would provide a better outcome for ratepayers. Read the full *CBC News* <u>article</u> by Andrew Kurjata.

ENERGY AND MINES				
Act or Regulation Affected	Effective Date	Amendment Information		
There were no amendments this month.				

FORESTRY AND ENVIRONMENT NEWS

'Unfair, Unwarranted and Deeply Troubling': U.S. Sets Final Import Duties on Canadian Softwood Lumber

The U.S. Commerce Department on Thursday [November 2nd] announced it will impose finalized softwood lumber import duties on several Canadian firms. The U.S. government said Canadian producers were selling into the U.S. market at less than fair value, and said Canada was providing "unfair subsidies" to domestic producers. "While I am disappointed that a negotiated agreement could not be made between domestic and Canadian softwood producers, the United States is committed to free, fair and reciprocal trade with Canada," said Commerce Secretary Wilbur Ross in a statement. "This decision is based on a full and unbiased review of the facts in an open and transparent process that defends American workers and businesses from unfair trade practices," Ross said. Read the CBC article.

Did I Forget the "Dam" Licence? A Primer on BC's Regulatory Power Over Water Storage Facilities

Should waves of concern wash over British Columbia after the Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives sent a letter to the BC Environmental Assessment Office claiming nearly 60 unlicensed dams were built in northeast BC to store fresh water for natural gas fracking operations? The letter claims many of these dams lack proper regulatory authorization, show signs of failing and were built without meaningful consultation with First Nations, boosting interest in, and media attention to, regulation of water storage facilities in BC. This bulletin provides an overview of the regulatory framework for dams in BC, which was recently updated by the BC <u>Water</u> <u>Sustainability Act</u> (WSA) and its associated regulations. The WSA replaced the former BC <u>Water Act</u> regime and came into force in February 2016. For more information, see our March 2016 <u>Blakes Bulletin: B.C. Enters New</u> <u>Era of Water Regulation as Water Sustainability Act Is Brought into Force</u>. Under the updated WSA, dams need to have a water licence and, if they are over a certain size, must also meet the requirements in the <u>Dam Safety</u> Regulation (DSR). Further, larger dams are subject to an environmental assessment and approval under the <u>Environmental Assessment Act</u> (EAA). Read the <u>full article</u> by Sandy Carpenter and Valerie Simion (Student-at-Law) of Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP.

New Spill Response Regulations to Take Effect October 30, 2017

British Columbia has a greater ability to prepare for, respond to, and recover from environmental emergencies with the introduction of the first phase of regulations strengthening the *Environmental Management Act*. The regulations bring <u>Division 2.1 Spill Preparedness</u>, <u>Response and Recovery</u> of the *Environmental Management Act* into force as of October 30, 2017. The three new regulations are: (1) <u>Spill Preparedness</u>, <u>Response and Recovery</u> <u>Regulation</u>; (2) <u>Spill Reporting Regulation</u>; and, (3) <u>Spill Contingency Planning Regulation</u>. Division 2.1 and the regulations set a foundation for strengthening spill response in B.C. By early 2018, the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy will also begin engaging with interested parties regarding the development of further enhancements to spill regulations.

What's New as of October 30, 2017

The enhancements to the *Environmental Management Act* and the regulations include new preparedness, response and recovery requirements.

• **Preparedness:** Regulated persons have been identified as transporters of liquid petroleum products. The owner of all pipelines transporting liquid petroleum products are regulated persons, as are the owners of rail and highway transporters in possession of 10,000 liters or

more. To demonstrate preparedness, regulated persons are required to develop and test provincial spill contingency plans.

• **Response and recovery:** A responsible person is someone who has possession, charge or control of a substance or thing when a spill of the substance or thing occurs or is at imminent risk of occurring. Responsible persons will be required to meet enhanced spill reporting requirements, carry out all the response actions specified in the Act as well as any additional steps required by a director, and, if directed to do so, develop and implement a recovery plan that addresses any damage done to the environment.

Read the full government news release.

Recovery of Government Costs under the Wildfire Act

By mid-August the BC Wildfire Service had declared BC's 2017 wildfire season the "worse ever" in terms of hectares burned. Aside from the human tragedy of wildfire, and the loss of resources, there are also enormous costs that government incurs in relation to wildfire. One report from early September had already pegged the bill for BC's 2017 wildfire season at nearly half a billion dollars – also a record, and many times over budget. Under the *Wildfire Act* (the "Act"), government has the authority to recover wildfire-related costs (including the costs of fire control; the value of lost or damaged Crown timber, other resources, and property; and silviculture costs) administratively from third parties. This allows government to pursue recovery of wildfire-related costs without the bother of a law suit. Government simply has to determine its losses in accordance with procedures outlined in the <u>Wildfire Regulation</u> (the "Regulation"), and send the bill to a third party deemed liable under the Act. Read the <u>full article</u> by <u>Jeff Waatainen</u>, LLB, in this issue of *BC Forest Professional*.

Environmental Appeal Board Decisions

There were two Environmental Appeal Board decisions in the month of October.

<u>Water Act</u>

• <u>Stk'emlúpsemc te Secwepemc Nation v. Assistant Water Manager, Water Stewardship, Thompson Rivers Natural</u> <u>Resource District</u> [Application to Postpone Hearing – Denied]

Environmental Management Act

• <u>Gibsons Alliance of Business and Community Society; Marcia Timbres v. Director, Environmental Management Act</u> [Preliminary Issue of Jurisdiction – Denied]

Visit the Environmental Appeal Board website for more information.

FORESTRY AND ENVIRONMENT

Act or Regulation Affected	Effective Date	Amendment Information
Administrative Penalties Regulation (Environmental Management Act) (133/2014)	Oct. 30/17	by <u>Reg 185/2017</u>
Contaminated Sites Regulation (375/96)	Nov. 1/17	by <u>Reg 253/2016</u> , as amended by <u>Reg 196/2017</u>
Drinking Water Protection Act	Oct. 30/17	by 2016 Bill 21, c. 20, section 10 only (in force by Reg 185/2017), Environmental Management Amendment Act, 2016
Environmental Management Act	Oct. 30/17	by 2016 Bill 21, c. 20, sections 1 to 9 only (in force by <u>Reg 185/2017</u>), <u>Environmental Management</u> <u>Amendment Act, 2016</u>
Hazardous Waste Regulation (63/88)	Nov. 1/17	by <u>Reg 243/2016</u> , as amended by <u>Reg 195/2017</u>

L	L	<u></u>
Limited Entry Hunting Regulation (134/93)	Oct. 6/17	by <u>Reg 184/2017</u>
Motor Vehicle Prohibition Regulation (196/99)	Oct. 30/17	by <u>Reg 194/2017</u>
Organic Matter Recycling Regulation (18/2002)	Nov. 1/17	by <u>Reg 243/2016</u> , as amended by <u>Regs 12/2017</u> and <u>195/2017</u>
Spill Contingency Planning Regulation (186/2017)	NEW Oct. 30/17	see <u>Reg 186/2017</u>
Spill Cost Recovery Regulation (250/98)	REPEALED Oct. 30/17	by <u>Reg 185/2017</u>
Spill Preparedness, Response and Recovery Regulation (185/2017)	NEW Oct. 30/17	see <u>Reg 185/2017</u>
Spill Reporting Regulation (187/2017)	NEW Oct. 30/17	see <u>Reg 187/2017</u>
Spill Reporting Regulation (263/90)	REPEALED Oct. 30/17	by <u>Reg 187/2017</u>

The content of this document is intended for client use only. Redistribution to anyone other than Quickscribe clients

(without the prior written consent of Quickscribe) is strictly prohibited.

QUICKSCRIBE SERVICES LTD.

UNSUBSCRIBE FROM THIS EMAIL SERVICE To unsubscribe from this service, click <u>here</u>.